



80

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/036,982	12/31/2001	William E. Ryan JR.	F-423	5328	
7	590 03/10/2003				
Pitney Bowes Inc. Intellectual Property and Technology Law Department			EXAMINER		
			RODRIGUEZ, JOSEPH C		
Shelton, CT 0	Drive, P.O. Box 3000 6484		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3653		
			DATE MAILED: 03/10/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1		Application No.		Applicant(s)	/ /
,		10/036,982		RYAN ET AL.	
Offic Action Sun	nmary	Examiner		Art Unit	$\longrightarrow \downarrow$
		Joseph C Rodrig			Y
The MAILING DATE of thi	s communication appe	ars on the cove	r sheet with th	3653 correspondence add	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY IN THE MAILING DATE OF THIS (- Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing da - If the period for reply specified above is les - If NO period for reply is specified above, it - Failure to reply within the set or extended phase to reply received by the Office later than the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CF Status	COMMUNICATION. the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 te of this communication. ss than thirty (30) days, a reply v e maximum statutory period will period for reply will, by statute, c three months after the mailing d	o(a). In no event, howeverthin the statutory mind apply and will expire the application to	ever, may a reply be tin imum of thirty (30) day SIX (6) MONTHS from	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co	· mmunication.
1) Responsive to communic	ation(s) filed on	_ ·			
2a) This action is FINAL .	2b) This	action is non-fi	nal.		
3) Since this application is in closed in accordance with Disposition of Claims	n condition for allowan n the practice under <i>E</i>	ce except for fo x parte Quayle,	ormal matters, pr 1935 C.D. 11, 4	osecution as to the 53 O.G. 213.	e merits is
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pend	ing in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawr	n from consider	ation.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allow	wed.				
6) Claim(s) is/are reje	cted.				
7) Claim(s) is/are obje	ected to.				
8) Claim(s) 1-15 are subject	to restriction and/or ele	ection requirem	ent.		
Application Papers		·			
9) The specification is objecte	d to by the Examiner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on	is/are: a) accepte	ed or b) object	ed to by the Exar	miner.	
Applicant may not request t	hat any objection to the	drawing(s) be hel	d in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) The proposed drawing corr	ection filed on i	s: a) 🗌 approve	ed b)⊡ disappro	ved by the Examine	r.
If approved, corrected draw	ings are required in reply	to this Office act	ion.		
12) The oath or declaration is o	bjected to by the Exar	miner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 an	d 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made	of a claim for foreign p	oriority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c)	None of:				
1. Certified copies of the	ne priority documents I	have been rece	ived.		
2. Certified copies of the	ne priority documents I	have been rece	ived in Application	on No	
3. Copies of the certifice application from* See the attached detailed O	the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).		Stage
14) Acknowledgment is made of	f a claim for domestic	priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional	application).
a) ☐ The translation of the t 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made o	foreign language provi	sional application	on has been rec	eived.	
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin Information Disclosure Statement(s) (P	g Review (PTO-948) TO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🗍		(PTO-413) Paper No(s Patent Application (PTO	
S. Patent and Trademark Office TO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action	on Summary		Part of	Paper No. 9

Application/Control Number: 10/036,982

Art Unit: 3653

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- I. Claims 1-11, a system for sorting mailpiece[s] and detecting the presence of harmful materials
- II. Claims 12-15, a system for sorting and sanitizing incoming mailpieces.

Here, the sanitizing aspect of the second grouping renders the species distinct as claimed.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no generic claims exist.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/036,982

Art Unit: 3653

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any references not explicitly discussed above but made of record are considered relevant to the prosecution of the instant application.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph C Rodriguez whose telephone number is 703-308-8342. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F during business hours, with alternate Mondays off.

Application/Control Number: 10/036,982

Art Unit: 3653

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-306-4195.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

March 5, 2003

DONALD WALSA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600